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Introduction

The church of Christ is so different from the various denominations and religious bodies of the world that many are left in confusion as to the reasons she takes her stands. Reading the Bible should alleviate much of this confusion, though. This series of lessons is intended to train the Christian to answer effectively questions regarding his beliefs and practices.

It is a shame when members of Christ’s church cannot explain why they believe what they believe. A belief that cannot be traced to scripture is almost as worthless and destructive as outright error. Passion is impossible when reason is a mystery.

Syllabus

Lesson One  The Founding of the Church of Christ
“Upon this rock I will build my church.”

Lesson Two  Recognition of Bible Authority
“If any man speak, let him speak as the oracles of God.”

Lesson Three  Undenominational Nature of the Church of Christ
“Is Christ divided?”

Lesson Four  Organization of the Church of Christ
“Shepherd the church which is among you.”

Lesson Five  Work of the Church of Christ
“Now the manifold wisdom of God might be made known by the church.”

Lesson Six  Concerning Salvation
“Men and brethren, what shall we do?”

Lesson Seven  Worship by the Church of Christ
“Let all things be done decently and in order.”

Lesson Eight  Place of Old Testament
“For whatever things were written before were written for our learning.”

Lesson Nine  Attitudes Toward Holy Spirit
“The Spirit … will guide you into all truth.”

Lesson Ten  Church Discipline
“Withdraw from every brother who walks disorderly.”

Lesson Eleven  Past Controversies
“I could wish that those who trouble you would even cut themselves off.”

Lesson Twelve  Current Controversies
“Let us walk properly …. and make no provision for the flesh.”

Lesson Thirteen  Review
“I stir up your pure minds by way of reminder.”
Lesson 1: Founding of the Church of Christ

“And I also say to you that you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church, and the gates of Hades shall not prevail against it” (Matt. 16:18). Toward the end of Christ’s earthly ministry, he made this prediction that he would build the church of Christ. It had not yet been established when he spoke thus, but was certain to be built nonetheless.

Founder

In examining denominations, it is seldom difficult to discern just who their founder was. Wesley, Eddy, Russell, and Smith can all be traced as the founder of various sects who claim truth in Christianity. When one compares all the denominations, however, he discovers that each teaches some doctrine that is distinct from others. Without fail, at least one major point of doctrine is totally contradictory of that in another denomination. How can it be said that Jesus is equally the founder of all these denominations?

That the Lord founded his church cannot be denied. As the president’s bride is the wife of Clinton, so the bride of Jesus is the church of Christ. He is her founder and there is no other.

Baptists argue that John the immerser founded their denomination. If such were granted, it would mean that the Baptist church is not one of Christ, for it would have preceded even the prediction by the Savior that his church was yet to be built.

Date of Foundation

Additionally, each denomination can trace its roots to a point sometime during the Reformation, when Luther’s spiritual descendants were attempting to correct the failures of the Roman Catholic Church. The church that Jesus promised to build, however, was constructed on the day of Pentecost when Peter made converts out of Christ’s crucifiers. On that day 3000 souls were immersed in water for the remission of sins and as the gospel was preached from then on, “the Lord added to the church daily those who were being saved” (Acts 2:47).

Any church not established until the eighteenth or nineteenth century is not an authentic church belonging to Jesus Christ, for it was established far too late to qualify. If any denomination cares to argue that it is merely a sect of the original, let its representatives strip away its sectarian name and be like the congregations of the Bible.

Prophecies

In Matthew 16:16-18, Jesus promises to build his church and to give the keys of the kingdom to the apostles. Kingdom prophecies existed from the Old Testament and indicated that the Messiah’s mission was to establish the unbreakable kingdom of God. Many Jews assumed this would mean a renewed earthly kingdom and rejected Christ when it became apparent he was not working toward such a thing. The nature of the kingdom was largely misunderstood and modern Premillennialism continues the error, believing that the kingdom of God is not yet in existence for Jesus failed this part of his objective.

Two important passages dispute this idea and suggest that the church is the kingdom. Mark 9:1 is a prophecy of Christ that the kingdom would come soon and can only be negated if the Lord is a false prophet. Colossians 1:13 argues that living Christians were instantly conveyed into the kingdom at conversion. Christ’s prayer that God’s kingdom would come has been answered affirmatively. Additionally, Acts 2:29-33 makes it clear that the resurrection of Christ placed him upon the throne of David over this kingdom.

The prophecy of Daniel to Nebuchadnezzar in Daniel 2:31-45 places the time of foundation during the reign of the Roman empire. It is too late for it to come now.
**The Called-Out**

The word “church” is from the Greek word “ekklesia,” which means literally “the called out.” The church then is not a building, but a collection of souls called out of the world and into Christ. Neither is the church composed of congregations, but simply of redeemed souls.

The Bible uses the word church in the New Testament to refer to the universal church (Acts 2:47) or local congregations (1 Cor. 1:2).

**Questions**

1. Who is the founder of Christian Science? Who is the founder of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints? Who is the founder of the Watchtower Bible and Tract Society? Who is the founder of the church of Christ?

   • Mary Baker Eddy founded Christian Science. Joseph Smith founded the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints. Charles Taze Russell is the founder of the Watchtower Bible and Tract Society. Jesus Christ is the founder of the church of Christ.

2. Why is Alexander Campbell not identified as the founder of the church of Christ?

   • Campbell was the most influential of a generation of Christians who made up their minds to throw off denominational mantles and attempt to restore the work and worship of the church to its New Testament roots. His efforts did not found that which was 1800 years old, but helped to burn away the chaff created by Catholic traditions and sectarian creeds.

3. What event marked the foundation of the church of Christ?

4. How did Christ connect the church and the kingdom in Matthew 16:16-18?

5. What prediction was made in Mark 9:1? Did the church come with power (Luke 24:49, Acts 2:1-13)?

6. The coronation of the Messiah to David’s throne is an important part of kingdom prophecy. How do we know Jesus ascended that throne (Acts 2:29-33)?

7. Describe the four kingdoms Daniel foresaw before the kingdom of God could be established (2:36-45). Try to identify all four kingdoms.
   
   1.
   2.
   3.
   4.

8. When would the kingdom of God come? Was the church established in this era?
Lesson 2: Recognition of Bible Authority

The authority of God in men’s lives has always been an important principle, but one just as often neglected or ignored. Bible authority for the church and its individual members is established on the pages of the New Testament, but many important lessons can be gleaned from an examination of the Old Testament as well.

Bible Authority in the Old Testament

A classic lesson is learned from God’s command to Noah to build the ark. The Lord specified that his servant should use gopher wood, without explicitly prohibiting other types of wood. Noah, recognizing the authority and wisdom of the Lord, used gopher wood and “did according to all that God commanded him” (Gen. 6:22). God does not have to condemn things explicitly for when he has explicitly commanded one thing, all else is necessarily excluded.

Good intentions do not negate the power of God’s authority either. In 2 Samuel 6, Uzzah reached out his hand to catch a toppling ark of the covenant and was struck dead on the spot for his well-intentioned transgression.

Neither can personal preference ascend God’s authority. In Leviticus 10, Nadab and Abihu offered God worship in a way that he had not specified, but which evidently appealed to these priests. Their incense was called “perverse” because God “had not commanded them.”

The primary authority for worship and life in the Old Testament was the law of Moses, delivered at Sinai in the form of the ten commandments and many subsequent regulations.

Bible Authority in the New Testament

Jesus asserted his privilege to total authority over his disciples just before his ascension into heaven: “All authority has been given to me in heaven and on earth” (Matt. 28:18). James concurred that there was “one lawgiver for the church” (4:12). And so we also heed the decree of Peter that “if any man speak, let him speak as the oracles of God” (1 Peter 4:11).

Christians must recognize the authority of the written word revealed in the New Testament as an infallible expression of God’s will. Many denominations resort to creeds, which originated as attempts to express what certain groups interpreted the New Testament to mean. After one or two centuries however, most of these artificial creeds have mutated further and further away from the Bible. Many, for instance, are condoning homosexuality and unscriptural marriages, things clearly condemned in the New Testament (1 Cor. 6, Matt. 19). Catholicism openly admits that it does not consider the Bible as a sole authority and relies instead on oral tradition handed down by her popes. Catholicism often finds itself contradicting the Bible (Matt. 23).

In Lesson Eight, we will examine the place of the Old Testament in the understanding of the church. Suffice it for now to say that the Old Testament authority has been taken away (Eph. 2:14-16) and only authority found in the testament of Christ is fit to direct us.

Hermeneutics

Bible authority for the work and worship of the church, as well as the actions of the individual can be established by studying the New Testament. Anything that is commanded is obviously authorized. For instance, Jesus commanded the apostles take the gospel into the whole world (Matt. 28:19-20). Secondly, any approved example is also authorized. We find the early disciples partook of the Lord’s supper on the first day of the week (Acts 20:7). Finally, any inference that must necessarily be made is also authorized. In order to fulfill the great commission, we must infer that some means of transport be used (foot, boat, car, plane, etc.).
1. What principle can be gleaned from Noah’s obedience to God’s command to build the ark with gopher wood? How might this principle affect the way we view God’s command to sing in Ephesians 5:19?

2. Does the fact that God did not explicitly forbid milk and cookies in the Lord’s Supper allow us to make the substitution? Why, or why not?

3. What are some examples of things done in the name of religion that are defended against questions of authority as being good works, too good to neglect? How does the case of Uzzah affect this principle?

4. What things are done in the name of religion that can only be traced to personal preference, rather than scriptural mandate? What does the case of Nadab and Abihu add to this concept?

5. Summarize what each of the following passages contributes to an understanding of Bible authority:
   a. Matthew 28:18:
   b. Matthew 15:7-9:
   c. James 4:12:
   d. 1 Peter 4:11:
   e. Col. 3:17:

6. Why are denominational creeds unnecessary? What does Catholicism claim as an additional authority to the Bible?

7. Why is it improper to appeal to the Old Testament to use instrumental music, animal sacrifice and the burning of incense (Gal. 5:3, James 2:10)?


Lesson 3: Undenominational Nature of the Church of Christ

In the first century, not a single denomination existed among Christians anywhere. There existed only the church that Jesus built, with her individual members assembled in local congregations. Denominationalism began to rise during the Protestant Reformation and today there are hundreds of major sects. Because this division is not authorized in the New Testament, it is not a proper state for Christ’s church. The church of Christ today endeavors to foster unity under no creed but the Bible and no name but Christ’s. The church of Christ does not have a denominational hierarchy and structure; it is not a denomination.

Some of the sectarian persuasion will point to John 15:1-8 to provide prophecy of the division of the church Jesus was building. The Lord refers to himself as the vine and his apostles as the branches who bear him fruit. The sectarian interprets this to mean that the vine is the universal church and the branches are all the denominations. Of course, that does not fit the context in any possible way. To contend that this passage authorizes sectarianism, one must accept the following premises as well:

- First, since Christ was speaking to the apostles, you have to believe that the twelve would leave Jesus and begin teaching different doctrines as modern denominations do (Gal. 2:6-10).
- Second, you must believe that Christ was kidding when he said that abiding in him was a condition of faithfulness, for one denomination today will contend that the doctrines of all others contain at least some unscriptural element (John 15:9-11).
- Third, you must accept the proposition that the bitter divisions that exist between many denominations actually glorify God.
- Finally, you must accept that Christ’s prayer for unity (John 17:17-21) was wishing the impossible.

If denominationalism were authorized and accepted by God, the apostle Paul would have had the perfect opportunity to inaugurate it when the Corinthian church began fracturing. He began his first letter to them instead by upbraiding them for dividing under the banners of various unwilling preachers. Here was the opportunity to sanction the Pauline convention, the Petrine convention and the Apollonian convention. They were dividing as believers divide today into the Baptist convention, the Lutheran denomination or the Presbyterian society. Paul told them this attitude was carnal and that they should “all speak the same thing, and that there should be no divisions among you” (1:10).

Obviously there were no denominations in the first century. If those folks could be saved without a denomination, then why cannot people today? If someone is baptized and makes up his mind to join no denomination but to be joined only to the body of Christ, is this possible? Of course.

In the Bible, the church is known as the church of Christ or the church of God and a few other variants of this same ideal of ownership. Modern denominations are named after doctrines (Presbyterian, Methodism), men (Baptist, Lutheran) and nations (Anglican, Greek Orthodox, Russian Orthodox). Believers should be able to agree on the phrase “church of Christ” since God uttered the words first (Rom. 16:16). They will always be divided when some insist on unscriptural names like the others.

In the Bible, the disciples of Christ are only properly known as “Christians” (Acts 11:26, Acts 26:28 and 1 Peter 4:16). There are no Presbyterians or Methodists in the Bible. The name “Christian” glorifies Christ whereas these other names subjugate his name to that of a man or doctrine. Believers can agree on “Christian” but will always be divided when some insist on unscriptural names like the others.
1. In what era did denominationalism take hold? Was it before or after the advent of the Roman Catholic church? Should members of the church of Christ be considered as part of a denomination?

2. Whom does Jesus identify as the vine in John 15:1-8? Whom does he identify as the branches?

3. Give four reasons why this passage does not authorize or predict the division of Christ’s church.

4. The seeds of denominationalism were present in first century Corinth and the apostle Paul did what he could to dig them up (1 Cor. 1:10-13). On what basis were they dividing? What does Paul advise instead of sectarianism?

5. Is it possible to be saved from your sins without benefit of a denomination or eventual membership in one? If not, how could the early saints have been saved then? If so, why do we need denominations at all?

6. Martin Luther begged his followers not to call their discipline after him and yet they are known even today as Lutherans. Charles Spurgeon openly wished for a day when there was not a Baptist on the face of the Earth, but only Christians. What is the effect of wearing denominational brands like these?

7. What would be thought of a woman who opted not to be called after her husband but his best man instead? Is this not the case with the Baptist church, since the church is to be the bride of Christ (John 3:25-30)?

8. How does the Holy Spirit refer to the church in these Scriptures?
   a. 1 Corinthians 1:2:  
   b. Romans 16:16:  
   c. Ephesians 1:22-23:  
   d. 1 Timothy 3:15-16:

9. Give the designation and its meaning by which Christ’s followers were called in these scriptures.
   a. Acts 11:26:  
   b. Acts 26:28:  
   c. Philippians 4:21:  
   d. Acts 16:1:  
   e. Colossians 1:2:  
   f. 1 Peter 4:16:
Lesson 4: Organization of Church of Christ

The Catholic church is famous for its worldwide hierarchy which peaks in the office of “Pope,” a seat fully unknown to Peter or any of the other apostles who walked with Jesus. Protestantism has generally erected a similar type of organization in which local congregations forfeit their scriptural autonomy to leaders with power over regions or the whole. Any church that follows the New Testament, however, will respect the pattern for organization and governing found in God’s will.

When there were no denominations during the writing of the New Testament, every church was of Christ and was autonomous (self-ruling) from every other local congregation. In Catholicism today, local groups are part of a regional diocese which answers ultimately to one man with total authority over every member, the Pope. Protestant denominations also contribute funds and authority to regional and universal powers that direct the local groups from afar.

There were numerous congregations in the New Testament but it is impossible to identify anything approaching the forfeiture of local congregational autonomy that we witness today. A local church chooses its own elders, deacons and preachers. It disciplines its own members and chooses how to expend its treasury according to Bible authority and expediency. No outside board or convention has any right to mandate what these decisions should be.

“And he gave some to be apostles, some prophets, some evangelists, and some pastors and teachers, for the equipping of the saints for the work of ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ” (Eph. 4:11-12). The office of apostle was filled by a finite number of men on the pages of the New Testament and individual seats were not filled once that apostle died (Acts 12:2), save for that of Judas Iscariot (Acts 1). Because prophecy involves a miraculous gift and all those have now ceased (1 Cor. 13:8-13), the only prophets in the church of Christ are found in the New Testament and all are deceased.

Evangelists are those who proclaim the gospel and we have numerous examples of them (Barnabas, Philip, Timothy, etc.). They may be itinerant or located in their work and have the right to be supported by those whom they teach (1 Cor. 9:14). He may also choose to labor in secular work to aid in his support, as did Paul who sometimes made tents for a living. Paul carefully lays out the role of the evangelist in writing the young evangelist in 2 Timothy 4:1-5. Evangelists who strayed into false teaching were openly reproved or exposed if they refused to make correction (2 Tim. 2:16-18).

Pastor is not synonymous with evangelist or preacher. The word “pastor” means “shepherd” very obviously and the work of shepherding in the church is given to the elders (Acts 20:28; synonymous also with bishops, overseers and presbyters). An eldership was always composed of a plurality of men (Acts 14:23) and their qualifications can be found in 1 Timothy 3 and Titus 1. Their authority did not extend beyond the local congregation which they served (Titus 1:5, 1 Peter 5:2). They were not considered to be infallible (1 Tim. 5:19-20) and the Bible never indicates chief elders or regional elders.

Teachers are distinct from evangelists in that they may instruct on a smaller scale in class or personal situations (Heb. 5:12). Deacons are servants of the church and must also be qualified according to the scriptures (1 Tim. 3). Members of the church are likened to the parts of a body (1 Cor. 12:12-31).

Denominations are built upon their creeds, but the church of Christ has no written creed, save for the New Testament.
1. Who is the head of the church of Christ (Eph. 1:1:22-23)? Who is the head of the Catholic church?

2. How has Protestantism mimicked the unscriptural organization of the Roman Catholic apostasy?

3. What does “local congregational autonomy” mean?

4. Does the history of the church of Christ include apostles and prophets (Eph. 2:19-20)?

5. Consider 2 Timothy 4:1-5. What are evangelists to preach? What does it mean to be “ready in season and out of season”?

6. Some churches forbid their preachers to do any secular work while others demand it. What is the proper scriptural position?

7. Give the four terms that can be used interchangeably with “pastors”. What is their role, according to 1 Peter 5:2-3? What does this include?

8. Catholicism appoints one bishop to rule all the churches of a region. Give the scripture that authorizes this plan.

9. Why is it important for the elder to be married and have children (1 Tim. 3:4-5)?

10. How does the church deal with preachers who teach error?

11. Would the concept of congregational autonomy be violated if many churches sent their funds to one centralized eldership who made decisions about how to spend it? Why or why not?

12. Do you have a copy of the official creed of the church of Christ? What is it called?

13. Give the scripture that requires preachers be schooled and ordained by some artificial standard.
Lesson 5: Work of the Church of Christ

It is easy to see that the work of most denominations is infected with the social gospel ideals of serving the felt needs of the whole man, rather than focusing upon the true needs of his spirit. The New Testament epistles and the book of the Acts of the Apostles gives modern man a pattern for the scope and limitations of the church’s work, showing us those that things that are authorized and those that are human innovations. The work of the church can be summed up in three categories: edification of the saved, evangelism to the lost and benevolence to the needy saint. When the work of the church is discussed, we primarily have in mind the use of the local treasury and assemblies.

The apostles constantly encouraged Christians to strive after personal growth and the local congregation was given a role in that objective. The Hebrew writer marked those who forsook the assembling of the saints, because such an attitude showed apathy toward the souls of one’s brethren (Heb. 10:24-25). The assembling of the saints is to exhort one another and stir up love and good works.

In addition, the local church is to be overseen by elders, who take the special responsibility of shepherding that flock and watching out for its souls (Acts 20:28-31, Heb. 13:7-17). They are to ensure that the flock among them is well fed with the milk and meat of God’s word (Titus 2:1-8) through competent and scriptural preaching and teaching. The local church may expend funds to meet this objective through supporting preaching (1 Cor. 9:14, 1 Tim. 5:17-18), purchasing teaching materials and funding a meeting place.

The early church at Philippi provides an example of supporting men who evangelize the lost in that they supported Paul on his journeys (Phil. 4:15-16). He explained this to the Corinthians, making it plain that local churches were authorized and obligated to support such evangelistic efforts (2 Cor. 11:8-9). An evangelist is needed wherever there are lost souls (Rom. 10:14-15) and the church may support those men who make this their life’s work.

It is important to note that the support of preaching, if perverted, can provide the seeds of denominationalism, or at least digression from scriptural authority. Funds were never transferred from one church to another in order to support evangelism. The concepts of a missionary society, a separate organization supported by any number of churches to do what should have been the work of the church, is foreign to God’s word. The modern concept of the sponsoring church, in which one church receives contributions from a number of churches, in order to evangelize on a greater scale is equally unauthorized. When a church desired to support evangelism in other places, the funds were disbursed directly to the preacher, not some middle man or organization.

Today, most people think that the church’s mission is to feed and clothe the poor and pay the bills for everyone who knocks on the door. Preaching the gospel is a secondary consideration. The New Testament, however, limited what a congregation could do for the poor and needy by giving only examples of benevolence provided to needy saints. A contribution was raised (1 Cor. 16:1-2) and delivered by the hands of a messenger either to the elders of a devastated congregation or such saints as were in need (2 Cor. 8:1-4, 9:12-14). The church was limited even in its aid to widows due to the “indeed” clause of Paul in 1 Timothy 5:3-16, where we also learn that the first responsibility for benevolence lies with the family. The church has no obligation or authority to provide benevolence funds to anyone who is not a member of the body of Christ.
1. How are the elders of a local church to provide for the edification of the flock among them?

2. What part does assembling play in edification (Heb. 10:24-25, Col. 3:16-17)?

3. What authority does a local church have to provide a meeting place?

4. What authority does the church have to pay someone to preach?

5. What is to be made known by the church (Eph. 3:10)?

6. What does Paul mean by saying that he robbed other churches in 2 Corinthians 11:8-9?

7. How did he sum up the preacher’s importance in Romans 10:14-15?

8. What is a missionary society? What is a sponsoring church? Are they scriptural methods? Why?

9. Does the church’s mission to evangelize the lost justify the use of banquet facilities, dramatic presentations, entertainment features and exercise rooms to lure people (John 6:6:26-27, Rom. 1:16, 1 Tim. 4:8)? What may be true of the religion and conversions of those so drawn?

10. In what ways is a congregation limited in its work of benevolence?

11. How may benevolence funds be disbursed?

12. Who holds the first responsibility in cases of benevolence needs?
Lesson 6: Concerning Salvation

Most of Protestantism is centered around the ideals of Calvinism, the theology of John Calvin, a Presbyterian who formulated the concept of salvation by faith alone. His doctrine, like the reformation effort of contemporary Martin Luther, was an extreme reaction to the excesses of the Roman Catholic Church, in which salvation is attained through meritorious works. Calvinism went to the opposite extreme and said that man had no responsibility in his salvation. Catholicism and Calvinism are opposite swings of the same pendulum. Truly man cannot merit his own salvation by himself, but he does have some responsibility in the matter.

Many passages affirm the idea that salvation is by faith (Eph. 2:8-10) and yet just as many show that it is not by faith alone (James 2:14-24). The only passage in the Bible that contains Calvin’s phrase, “faith only” is James 2:24: “You see then that a man is justified by works and not by faith only.”

Christ’s own teaching makes it clear that obedience to God’s terms and will are vital to one’s salvation. Such obedience is a part of God’s grace and does not negate it. Listen to Jesus: “Not everyone who says to me, ‘Lord, Lord,’ shall enter the kingdom of heaven, but he who does the will of my father in heaven” (Matt. 7:21). It is more than belief; it is also obedience. To those who claimed belief but proved otherwise in their disobedience, he said, “But why do you call me ‘Lord, Lord,’ and not do the things which I say?”.

Three times the Holy Spirit ties obeying the gospel to salvation (Romans 10:16, 2 Thess. 1:8, 1 Peter 4:17). God has done his part in sending his son to die on the cross; it remains for man to work out his own salvation in fear and trembling (Phil. 2:12). Salvation is by faith, but not by faith alone.

The roots of salvation exist in the preaching and hearing of the gospel. “How then shall they call on him in whom they have not believed? And how shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard .... So then faith comes by hearing, and hearing by the word of God” (Rom. 10:14, 17).

Once the gospel has been heard, every auditor must decide whether or not he believes the message, for faith comes from hearing God’s word. For this reason, infants and the mentally incompetent are not proper subjects for obedience to the gospel; they are unable to believe anything. “But without faith it is impossible to please [God], for he who comes to God must believe that he is and that he is a rewarder of those who diligently seek him” (Heb. 11:6). Believing the gospel message means believing that Jesus is the son of God and that he is the savior of souls.

One who has this belief should be willing to confess it before others. “If you confess with your mouth the Lord Jesus and believe in your heart that God has raised him from the dead, you will be saved” (Rom. 10:9). This verse obviously adds an act of obedience to faith in order to obtain salvation. There were many in Christ’s day who believed he was the messiah but refused to confess that faith for fleshly reasons (John 12:42-43); he promised to deny them also in the day of judgment (Matt.10:32-33).

Salvation depends upon a conversion of the soul (Matt. 18:3-5) and conversion means change, repentance of sinful ways (Acts 3:19, 8:22). True repentance comes from godly sorrow and is a commitment to cease the sin and do better (2 Cor. 7:8-11).

None of these is anywhere near as controversial as baptism, or immersion in water. Although Christ taught its necessity (Mark 16:16) and Peter gave it as the answer to the Pentecostians’ query, “What shall we do?” (Acts 2:38), many reject it as a work. The evidence mounts when one considers Romans 6:1-4, Galatians 3:24-27 and 1 Peter 3:20-21. Baptism no more earns one his salvation than confession or repentance. Baptism must be immersion in water according to the Bible example.

Finally, salvation will be forfeited by the apostate (Heb. 12:15). One must remain true (Rev. 2:10).
1. What is the source of Calvinism (its originator and logic)?

2. Is salvation by faith (Eph. 2:8-9)? Is salvation by faith only?

3. What does it mean for a sinner to be justified? Could one be justified and sent to hell anyway?

4. Calvinism pushes something called the sinner’s prayer to obtain salvation. Is calling Jesus “Lord” sufficient for obtaining salvation?

5. What do the following passages say about obedience to the gospel?
   - Romans 10:15-17: Although many hear, not all obey the gospel for they do not have faith.
   - 2 Thess. 1:8: God will take vengeance in flaming fire on those who do not know him or do not obey.
   - 1 Peter 4:17: Judgment will be unkind to those who do not obey the gospel of God.

6. Is one who works out his own salvation in fear and trembling trying to earn his salvation?

7. From whence does faith come (Rom. 1:16, 10:17)?

8. Should infants be “baptized” as is done in Catholic services? Why or why not?

9. Is confession necessary to salvation (Rom. 10:9)? Is it a work that merits salvation, then?

10. Why did some “believers” refuse to confess Christ during his ministry (John 12:43-44)? Will they be saved anyway (Matt. 10:32-22)?

11. Is repentance being sorry you were caught? What is repentance (2 Cor. 7:8-11)?

12. What do the following passages say about the necessity of baptism to salvation?
   - Mark 16:15-16:
   - Romans 6:3-4:
   - Acts 22:16:
   - 1 Peter 3:20-21:

13. Can a Christian be lost (Gal. 5:4)?
Lesson 7: Worship by the Church of Christ

Religious worship in the late twentieth century reflects the whims and desire of the masses, especially appealing to youth. There is very little attention to the worship pattern of the New Testament; instead the intent is to please man rather than God with collective worship. Hence, we see dramatic presentations instead of gospel preaching, raps and orchestral performances instead of congregational a Capella singing, and personal testimonials given in the place of objective proofs. Christ demanded that worship be according to spirit and truth. Many have the former, the emotion, without even seeking the latter, scriptural authority.

Singing

One will find that sound churches of Christ use no mechanical instruments of music in their worship. Although stringed instruments were used in the Old Testament, with that law taken out of the way (Eph. 2:14-15), the church looks to the New Testament for its pattern of worship. The two main commands regarding musical worship are found in Ephesians 5:19 and Colossians 3:16. In both places the command is simply “to sing”. There is no indication that we are also to play. Furthermore, the only instrument mentioned in relation to musical worship is the heart. Other passages dealing with musical worship include Hebrews 13:15 (“the fruit of the lips”). Truly, the words of praise reach God’s ears. The plucking and poundings of mechanical instruments appeal only to men.

Giving

The tithe is another Old Testament command that is not found in the New Testament. The church has a mission which requires funding and the only example for raising money is the free will offering of the members. The command for every individual to lay by in store on the first day of the week is found in 1 Corinthians 16:1-2. “So let each one give as he purposes in his heart, not grudgingly or of necessity; for God loves a cheerful giver” (2 Cor. 9:7).

The Lord’s Supper

The Lord’s supper consists of unleavened bread and the juice of the fruit of the vine. It is not a meal intended for nutrition, but memorial. Christ inaugurated the custom on the night he was betrayed and the Holy Spirit led the early church to adopt it as a weekly observance of Christ’s death (Acts 20:7). Paul commented on and regulated the practice in 1 Corinthians 11:23-32. One is to eat worthily by examining himself and focusing upon the body and blood of the savior.

Preaching

The assembly is implicitly commanded in Hebrews 10:24-25; examples are given in Acts 20, 1 Corinthians 5, 11 and 14. It is a time for edification and teaching. The gospel should be preached by a competent teacher who speaks as the oracles of God (1 Peter 4:11) and does not attempt to tickle itching ears or appeal to human wisdom for authority (2 Tim. 4:1-5).

Prayer

Paul commanded that men lift up holy hands of prayer everywhere in 1 Timothy 2:8. We see men leading such prayer whenever the saints are gathered. Prayer is to be for gratitude, praise and petition (1 Tim. 2:1-4). Women are forbidden to take leading roles in any of these acts of worship (1 Tim. 2:12, 1 Cor. 14:34).
1. How do we know that the church is supposed to assemble for worship?

2. What did Jesus mean by demanding worship be “in spirit and in truth” (John 4:23-24)?

3. How would modern forms of worship like dramas, puppet shows and performances fit into Christ’s condemnation of the Pharisees in Matthew 15:3-9?

4. Does the church of Christ have music?

5. What is the New Testament pattern for musical worship?

6. Why is the Old Testament not an authority for instrumental music in the worship of the church?

7. What pattern for giving is in place, now that the tithe has been removed?

8. While God appreciates a cheerful giver, what two attitudes does he disdain?

9. What do the two elements of the Lord’s supper represent? When was this commemoration instituted?

10. What should the partaker be careful to observe during the communion?

11. Why is the Lord’s supper taken only on Sunday? Why does the church not observe the Sabbath?

12. What is the preacher to preach (1 Peter 4:11, 2 Tim. 4:1-5)? What is he not to do (Rom. 16:18)?

13. Why is it wrong for women to lead prayer, teach, or take any other lead in worship?
Lesson 8: Place of the Old Testament

The Old Testament is a book of history, law, poetry, wisdom and prophecy. Each of these categories lends instruction to the Christian today and yet it must be remembered that the law under which we live is found exclusively on the pages of the New Testament. What Moses said about divorce and remarriage and the Sabbath are not binding upon those who exist under the law of Christ. David’s dance and stringed instruments in worship are not authoritative examples for worship today. The Old Testament has a place in our discipline today, but not as a binding code.

History, Poetry, Wisdom and Prophecy

Aside from the tenets of the law of Moses which bound nearly every facet of Hebrew life, the Old Testament is rife with historical lessons, praise in the form of the psalms and the song of Solomon, wisdom from the Proverbs and Ecclesiastes and a trove of faith-building prophecies.

One can and should appeal to the historical records of the Old Testament, not as authority for this or a prohibition of that, but as an example of what becomes of those who heed or disregard God’s will generally. “For whatever things were written before were written for our learning, that we through the patience and comfort of the Scriptures might have hope” (Rom. 15:4). What can we learn from the serpent’s deception of Eve in the garden? What can be learned from Joseph in Egypt? What can be learned from King Josiah’s reforms? The lessons are all there and truly those who do not know their history—the history of God’s relations with man—are doomed to repeat the same mistakes of their patriarchs.

The apostle Paul calls on his brethren to recall the exodus pilgrims of Israel who “drank of that spiritual Rock that followed them, and that Rock was Christ. But with most of them, God was not well pleased” (1 Cor. 10:4-5). He goes on to explain the precise reasons for God’s displeasure and to illustrate how Christians of that, and any age, can similarly fall by making friendships with the world. “Now all these things happened to them as examples, and they were written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of the ages have come. Therefore let him who thinks he stands, take heed, lest he fall.” (1 Cor. 10:11-12).

Consider also the poetry of the psalms, which aids us in expressing our emotions in various situations. We learn how David and other eloquent men poured out their hearts to an attentive God.

The wisdom found in the proverbs and Ecclesiastes is timeless and touches upon our lives at every turn. The proverbs are largely not purely questions of law, but of wisdom.

The 17 major and minor prophets, along with their counterparts within the historical works, created a mountain of predictions about the coming Messiah and his church. These prophecies lend evidence to the validity of the claim today that Jesus is Lord and the Bible is true and inspired by God.

The Hebrew writer noted that Christ’s priesthood necessitated a change in the law because no Jew could serve in the company of the Levites: “For the priesthood being changed, of necessity there is also a change of the law.” The law of Moses was decommissioned (Heb. 8:7-13) and the law of Christ ascended to exclusive authority (James 4:12). Paul remarks on the removal of the law of commandments that separated Jews and Gentiles (Eph. 2:14-15) and which provided the discipline of Israel (Col. 2:14). Even the ten commandments were taken out of effect at the cross (2 Cor. 3:7-8), although nine of them (all save the Sabbath) are reiterated in the law of Christ (Gal. 6:2).

Most who appeal to the Old Testament for authority only want it for certain things, but such an appeal obligates one to keep the whole law (Gal. 5:3, James 2:10). Finding authority there for instrumental music in worship obligates such a one to sacrifice animals and keep the stringent Sabbath laws every Saturday.
1. How does Jesus illustrate the difference between Moses’s law and his in Matthew 19:7-9?

2. Why were the things of the Old Testament written and preserved for us, who are not Hebrews?

3. What can we learn from the serpent’s deception of Eve in the garden (Gen. 3:1-7)?

4. Why was God not pleased with the exodus pilgrims (1 Cor. 10:1-12)? Why should we care?

5. Consider Psalm 23. What is the benefit of the psalms in general?

6. Since the law of Moses has been taken out of effect, does this mean that the wisdom of the proverbs no longer applies or is useful?

7. What is the value of the prophecies (2 Peter 1:21)?

8. How could Christ be a priest under the law of Moses? Since he is recognized as a priest, what does this prove?

9. What do the following passages have to say about the duration of the law of Moses?
   - Ephesians 2:14-15:
   - Colossians 2:14:
   - 2 Corinthians 3:7-8:
   - Hebrews 8:7-13:

10. Why is it not permissible to appeal to the Old Testament for authority for instrumental music in worship, while rejecting its commands to sacrifice animals and keep the Sabbath?

11. Which of the ten commandments was not reiterated in the New Testament?
Lesson 9: Attitudes Toward Holy Spirit

Most would agree that the Holy Spirit is the most mysterious of the three persons of the Godhead. We see his presence in the Old Testament but are more deeply introduced to him through Christ’s discussion with the apostles and his subsequent work among them. Calvinism holds that the Holy Spirit must directly influence the heart of a person, apart from the Bible, to convince him to accept Christ. Pentecostals believe that the Holy Spirit still works supernaturally through the inspiration of modern prophecies and so-called tongues speaking. The Bible shows us what the Spirit’s true mission was as the Comforter who came to the apostles just after Christ’s ascension and what his task is today.

The distinct person of the Holy Spirit is less evident in the Old Testament, although we see him from the beginning (Gen. 1:2). He is often referred to only as the Spirit or the Spirit of God. Several prophecies also point to his arrival on the day of Pentecost (Joel 2:28-32). We learn then that the Spirit had a hand in creation, in communicating God’s will to mankind, and in the birth of the church.

In the New Testament, the Holy Spirit appears immediately again in the incarnation of Christ as Mary was found to be with child of the Holy Spirit (Matt. 1:18). He is credited with part in the miraculous deeds of Christ and his apostles (Matt. 12:28). The Lord explained to Nicodemus that he needed to be born again, this time of water and the Spirit, in order enter the kingdom of God.

We learn of the Holy Spirit’s mission from John’s record of Christ’s last conversation with the apostles in the night he was betrayed (John 14-16). After telling Thomas and the others that he was preparing to go away, he promises to send them another helper to abide with them for good, “the Spirit of truth” (John 14:17), who would call to remembrance all things Christ had taught them on Earth (John 14:26). Fallible human memories would not prevent the twelve from delivering Christ’s teaching in purity.

The work of the Spirit would involve conviction, righteousness and judgment (16:7-11). He would add to the things Christ had taught the apostles. “I still have many things to say to you, but you cannot bear them now. However, when He, the Spirit of truth, has come, he will guide you into all truth” (John 16:12-13). The description of the Holy Spirit as the Spirit of truth is very appropriate in that it indicates what his central mission will be, delivering God’s message to mankind. Clearly, there were doctrines about the kingdom and other matters the apostles were not prepared to handle at this moment, but the Spirit of truth would deliver them when they were.

Many today equate the Holy Spirit’s work with the exhibition of miracles like tongues speaking and healings, but those things were only tools by which he accomplished his central mission, delivering God’s revelation. “And they went out and preached everywhere, the Lord working with them and confirming the word through the accompanying signs” (Mark 16:20). The miracles were to testify that the spoken word was divine and authentic (Heb. 2:4). We see this to be the case in both instances of “Holy Spirit baptism”: tongues speaking testified that the apostles’ word was from God (Acts 2) and that the Gentiles should be converted starting in Cornelius’s house (Acts 10-11).

This function was eventually completed successfully when all God wanted man to know was communicated to him (Jude 3, 2 Peter 1:3). Paul predicted that when complete knowledge had come, miraculous abilities would cease (1 Cor. 13:8-13). This they obviously did.

The expression “filled the Spirit” is used in the New Testament to refer either to miraculous ability or simply a willingness to be guided by the Spirit’s influence through his word (Acts 6:5, Eph. 5:18). The word of God is “the sword of the Spirit” (Eph. 6:17), showing that miracles were not the focus, but the revelation of truth was.
1. When do we first see the Holy Spirit in the Old Testament? In the New Testament?

2. How does the Holy Spirit effect conversions, by direct operation or through the agency of his word (Eph. 6:17, Rom. 10:17)?

3. Jesus uses two other designations to describe the Holy Spirit in John 14-16. What are they?
   - John 14:16: Helper or Comforter
   - John 14:17: Spirit of truth

4. What would be his function, according to John 14:16?

5. What would be his function, according to John 16:12-13?

6. What was the purpose of miraculous abilities of the Holy Spirit, like tongues speaking and healing (Mark 16:20 and Heb. 2:4)?

7. List and describe the only two recorded occurrences of “Holy Spirit baptism.”

8. When were miraculous gifts to cease (1 Cor. 10:8-13)? Has this occurred (Jude 3, 1 Peter 1:3)?

9. What is the sword of the Spirit (Eph. 6:17)? What should this tell us about the relative importance of the word versus miraculous signs and wonders?

10. What does it mean to be filled with Spirit in Ephesians 5:18-19?

11. How does the Holy Spirit dwell in us (Rom. 8:9, 13-17)?

12. How can we show that the Spirit indwells us (Gal. 5:16-25)?
Lesson 10: Church Discipline

There are many practices among religious people regarding discipline within their memberships. Many churches today practice no form of correction, for they have little instruction upon which to base reproof. The Bible calls on the local congregation alone to practice discipline among its members, with the oversight of the bishops. Discipline should be proactive (through teaching and example) and reactive (through reproof, rebuke, withdrawal and marking).

In academic circles, one’s major focus of learning is called his discipline. Thus mathematics and science are both collegiate disciplines. We find that Christ called his followers “disciples” because they obeyed his teaching, or discipline. That body of instruction was built upon by inspired men like the apostles and collected in our New Testament. Teaching the scriptures provides a form of proactive discipline that guides and warns.

Paul told Timothy to give heed to “reading, to exhortation, to doctrine” (1 Tim. 4:13). He was to “convince, rebuke, exhort, with all longsuffering and teaching” (2 Tim. 4:2). This is the most common form of discipline that the elders of local congregations provide as they endeavor to feed the flock (1 Peter 5:2).

Christians are to be obedient to this doctrine, for it originates with God. They should be “showing all good fidelity, that they may adorn the doctrine of God our Savior in all things” (Titus 2:10). Every time a preacher or teacher instructs an assembly or class, the local church is being disciplined en masse.

Corrective discipline is more widely recognized. It is intended for those who refuse to heed the instruction and warning that comes from the scriptures and their brethren. Paul wrote, “Warn those who are unruly, comfort the fainthearted, uphold the weak, be patient with all” (1 Thess. 5:14). Sin should not be ignored, but should be rebuked.

The first mention of this corrective discipline is in the context of handling personal, private offenses between two brethren (Matt. 18:15-17). Christ outlines the process of trying to win back a brother who has offended you, but if the problem finds its way before the entire church and the offender still will not repent, he is to be withdrawn from as if a heathen or publican.

The church at Corinth shows the seriousness of sin within the ranks of the redeemed (1 Cor. 5). They were harboring and tolerating a brother who had his father’s wife and Paul demanded that, when they assembled, they should deliver his flesh to Satan that he might be ashamed and won back. Paul’s fear was that his sin might influence others to lower their own standards and indulge a weakness as well. This withdrawal from the sinner demanded an end to spiritual and social fellowship and extended by context also to coveters, extortioners and idolaters. Evidently, any practice of sin is sufficient to merit withdrawal if repentance is refused.

Most clearly, Paul told the Thessalonians, who were dealing with slothful brethren to “withdraw from every brother who walks disorderly and not according to the tradition which he received from us” (2 Thess. 3:6). Such a brother should be counted, not as an enemy, but as a brother in this attempt, however (2 Thess. 3:15).

The situation in Corinth showed the positive results of withdrawal. The sinning brother repented and the church was instructed to welcome him back (2 Cor. 2:6-8).

In cases where a brother was found to be divisive or teaching error, he was to be marked so that all could be aware of his danger (Rom. 16:17-18). Divisive men should be admonished once and then again, but if they will not change at this point, they should be marked as self-condemned, warped and sinning (Titus 3:9-11). Faithful men should be careful not to greet or receive teachers who go beyond the doctrine of Christ (2 John 9-11).
1. All discipline is one of two types. What are the two types? Describe them.

2. What does it take to be in the discipline (teaching) of Christ (John 8:31)?

3. How does a congregation receive discipline when it is assembled or in a class situation?

4. How is this an example of the elders “feeding the flock” (1 Peter 2:2, Heb. 5:12-14)?

5. What are some practical ways in which Christians adorn the teaching of Christ (Gal. 5:22-25)?

6. How should the following types of people be treated?
   a. unruly:
   b. fainthearted:
   c. weak:
   d. all:

7. List the steps in dealing with personal, private offenses between two brethren in which the offender does not repent (Matt. 18:15-17).
   1. 
   2. 
   3. 
   4. 

8. Why did Paul demand the sinner in Corinth be withdrawn from at once (1 Cor. 5:6)?

9. What does it mean when Paul forbids them “to keep company with” such brethren?

10. From which brother should we withdraw (2 Thess. 3:6)? By what standard of order (2 Thess. 2:15)?

11. How do we admonish such a brother without treating him like an enemy?

12. What two offenses can lead to one being negatively marked (Titus 2:10-11, 2 Tim. 2:17-18)?

13. Of what should faithful men be warned concerning dealing with false teachers (2 John 9-11)? Why?
Lesson 11: Past Controversies

Although Jesus only built one church and prayed that its members might be unified, and although the Holy Spirit sought unity through his revelation, the church of Christ has periodically faced divisive controversies throughout its history.

The original controversy among members of the body of Christ involved the assimilation of Gentile converts into a group that had formerly been exclusively and proudly Jewish. When God was ready to send the gospel to the Gentiles, he proved his intentions to Peter through means of a vision and a miracle in the house of Cornelius, a Roman centurion whom the apostle then baptized (Acts 10). Peter’s actions were put under scrutiny by Jewish Christians who assumed that Gentiles would be left out as they had been under the law of Moses. When he explained the course of events to them, however, “they became silent; and they glorified God, saying, ‘Then God has also granted to the Gentiles repentance to life’ (Acts 11:18). Gentile conversions continued in Antioch and elsewhere. Just then some Christians came down from Judea and taught the Gentile converts that they needed to be circumcised according to the custom of Moses in order to be saved (Acts 15:1), leading to no small dissension and dispute.

The parties involved met with the apostles and determined that God’s revelation included the Gentiles without mandating they become Jewish proselytes first. The Judaizers were not satisfied with this decision, however, and continued to trouble their Gentile brethren.

The woman’s role in the church was also an early source of confusion. Custom and Mosaic tradition seemed to forbid her from taking a leading role in worship and guidance, but the presence of spiritual gifts in her led to an assertion of privilege. The apostle Paul wrote on a couple of occasions to clear up the controversy.

“Let your women keep silent in the churches, for they are not permitted to speak; but they are to be submissive, as the law also says. And if they want to learn something, let them ask their own husbands at home; for it shameful for women to speak in church” (1 Cor. 14:34-35). In worship services when the church is assembled, women are not permitted to speak as teachers, prayer leaders or song leaders.

He also wrote to Timothy, “Let a woman learn in silence with all submission. And I do not permit a woman to teach or to have authority over a man, but to be in silence” (1 Tim. 2:11-12). This precludes women preachers and mixed gender adult Bible class teachers.

His qualifications for the offices of elder and deacon also forbid women in that they call for office-holders to be husbands and fathers. No woman can be a husband or a father.

This became very controversial in the nineteenth century and was one of the issues that led to a major division among Christians. It is being renewed today, especially among very liberal congregations and preachers.

The church of Christ in early centuries began to drift from the Bible pattern as the eldership mutated. First one elder took preeminence over a local eldership. Then one elder began to exercise authority over several churches in a region. Finally, a “pope” was appointed as earthly head of the universal church. This is the Catholic apostasy that has continued to drift away from the Bible ever since. Protestant denominationalism mimics this form of hierarchy and ignorance of congregational autonomy (see lesson four) by appointing lawmaking conventions and creed writers.

Attempts to restore the church’s practice to the Bible pattern largely succeeded in the nineteenth century, but were somewhat reversed when Alexander Campbell championed the American Christian Missionary Society, an organization that exacted funds from local congregations to do a work on behalf of the universal church, without scriptural authority. This was another issue that split the ranks.

In the 1950s, the Highland congregation in Abilene, Texas, began a radio program called “Herald of Truth,” which they wanted to broadcast worldwide. Because funding this project was more than they could bear, they requested other congregations to give up part of their treasury to the oversight of the Highland church. When objections were raised regarding the authority for this method, a deep fissure began to show among Christians across America. The decision by most to go along with this program led to the institutional controversy among churches that also included church support of orphansages and colleges. Today there are institutional churches that range in their liberalism all the way to the social gospel of gymnasiums and banquet halls.
1. What requirements were some Jewish Christians attempting to lay upon their Gentile brethren?

2. Why was division over this controversy generally contained to small losses in the first century?

3. How did Paul feel about the Judaizing teachers (Gal. 5:11-12)?

4. What does Titus 2:3-5 say about the role of the woman before God. Is she inferior to the man?

5. Why cannot a woman be an elder or deacon?

6. Why cannot a woman take a leading role in the worship services of the church?

7. Why is this doctrine about women’s roles controversial today?

8. How did the early church drift into centralizing of authority? Why is this wrong (1 Peter 5:2)?

9. What was wrong with the American Christian Missionary Society of the nineteenth century?

10. What was wrong with the Herald of Truth funding program in the 1950s?

11. How far have many institutional churches gone, now a half-century later?
Lesson 12: Present Controversies

As the twenty-first century and third millennium dawn, the church of Jesus Christ is again faced with some very divisive, controversial issues among its members. The seeds for the present discussions were sown nearly three decades ago as some began to contend for unity-in-doctrinal-diversity like the denominations. Teachings on divorce and remarriage have provided the clearest test scenario for this philosophy.

Unity-in-Doctrinal-Diversity

The sects of men have long championed the concept of unity-in-doctrinal-diversity, whereby people and churches with contradictory beliefs can say they are in fellowship. The Bible, of course, teaches unity-in-doctrinal-conformity (1 Cor. 1:10) while foreseeing a diversity of opinion regarding those things that are best called authorized liberties.

The major vehicle for espousing unity-in-doctrinal-diversity is Romans 14. The context involves disagreements among Christians over matters of authorized liberty, actions which God treated with indifference regarding participation or abstinence. Paul uses the eating of meats and observation of days and makes plain that one was neither better nor worse in either decision on them. The context is limited by its own language to things that meet the following descriptions:

1. done by one weak in faith (verse 1) 
2. sinful to condemn doer (verse 3) 
3. each answer equally right (verses 5-6) 
4. done “to the Lord” (verse 6) 
5. clean (verse 14)
6. good (verse 16) 
7. doer received by God (verse 18) 
8. pure (verse 20) 
9. won’t cause stumbling (verse 21) 
10. done in faith (verse 22)

Some today have advocated placing instrumental music, modern dancing, social drinking, pornography, homosexuality and absolutely everything short of the deity of Christ in this context so that every view is protected and deemed viable. This would seem to forge a unity among all believers, but unfortunately, it is a false unity that actually begets enmity with God (James 4:4). Before something can be placed into Romans 14, it must fit the context, which does not include a diversity of beliefs on any matter that could prove costly to the soul.

Romans 14 has been appealed to in order to protect beloved friends who teach error on divorce and remarriage, as well as to broaden the door to the church that it might include those who do not want to repent of unscriptural marriages or give up worldly lifestyles that are popular in today’s society.

Divorce and Remarriage

Christ’s teaching in Matthew 5:31-32 and Matthew 19:3-9 would seem to be clear. God only authorizes a divorce for cases in which one spouse has committed adultery against the other. The innocent spouse is free to remarry while the guilty is implicitly forbidden to remarry. In addition to those who hold looser beliefs than this on the subject with sincere conviction, there are many who are just more concerned with numbers than genuine conversions, and are attempting to pervert this plain teaching in order to widen the door to the church again. 1 Corinthians 7:10-11 makes it clear that spouses separated for some reason short of adultery should remain unmarried or be reconciled. Repentance would require one to cease an unscriptural marriage should he want to be saved.

Worldliness

Paul’s condemnation against lewdness (Gal. 5:19) has also come under fire in a church bent on imitating the world. Mixed swimming, modern dancing and immodest attire are finding proponents everywhere.
1. Define unity-in-doctrinal-diversity and describe why so many find it appealing (Jude 3).

2. What did Paul say in 1 Corinthians 1:10 that blunts the movement for this form of diversity?

3. Does the context of Romans 14 extend to matters of authorized liberties? What does that mean? Give examples.

4. Does Romans 14 extend to matters of doctrine like baptism (immersion vs. sprinkling), instrumental music in worship and divorce (for adultery vs. any cause)? Explain.

5. Are pornography, abortion and social drinking protected by Romans 14? Explain.

6. What is the single ground given for a scriptural divorce (Matt. 5:31-32)?

7. What is true of any man who marries a woman who has been put away? What is true of any woman who puts away her husband for a reason short of adultery and then marries someone else?

8. According to 1 Corinthians 7:10-11, what should spouses who separate for reasons other than adultery do?

9. Since society sanctions divorce in the courtroom and culture, should the church adapt its teaching to conform to popular opinion and maintain a stronger base for conversions (1 Peter 1:24-25, 4:11)?

10. What does repentance of making an unscriptural union require (Rom. 6:1)?

11. Thayer’s definition of “lewdness” in Galatians 5:19 includes “indecent bodily movements” and “unchaste handling of males with females.” How would the apostle’s condemnation of lewdness in that passage touch on issues like immodest dress, mixed swimming and modern dancing?

12. Why is it wrong to attempt to broaden the door of the kingdom (Matt. 7:14, James 4:4, Matt. 18:3)?
Lesson 13: Review

1. Give the founder and approximate date of foundation of the church of Christ (Matt. 16:16, Acts 2).

2. What is the relationship of the church to the kingdom (Matt. 16:18, Daniel 2:36-45, Acts 2:29-33, Col. 1:13)?

3. What are the three ways in which Bible authority can be established (Lesson Two)?

4. Should the silence of the scriptures on some matter (e.g. using milk in the Lord’s Supper) be considered authority to act as one pleases? How should the silence of the scriptures be treated?

5. What does the New Testament say concerning division and sectarianism (1 Cor. 1:10)?

6. What should disciples of Christ be called? How should the church of Christ be designated?


8. Does the church of Christ have any apostles or prophets?

9. What are other terms for “elder.”

10. What are the three facets of the work of the church?
11. Which of these includes authority for social gospel concepts like church sponsored recreation and meals (1 Cor. 11:34) and benevolent programs for needy outsiders (Acts 11:27-30)?

12. What does the Bible say about “faith only” (James 2:24)?

13. List and summarize some passages that teach the necessity of immersion to salvation.

14. List the five acts of authorized worship when the church is assembled and give authority for each.

15. What proper use of the Old Testament should we make today (Rom. 15:4, 1 Cor. 10:1-11)?

16. Why should we not use the Old Testament to derive authority or find binding law (Eph. 2:14-15)?

17. What is the Holy Spirit’s masterpiece (Eph. 6:17)?

18. How does the Holy Spirit influence behavior and conversion today (2 Peter 1:21, John 16:5-15)?

19. How does the church provide its members with proactive discipline? What is corrective discipline?

20. Why cannot women teach or take authority over men in the church?

21. Why is it wrong for elders to assume oversight of more than one church (1 Peter 5:2)?

22. Does Romans 14 demand that we maintain fellowship with those who teach and practice error regarding divorce and remarriage?
### Other Material By This Author

#### A Passage Through the Old Testament

| OT1 In The Beginning | OT5 Israel and Judah |
| OT2 From Egypt to Canaan | OT6 The Major Prophets |
| OT3 Taming Canaan | OT7 The Minor Prophets |
| OT4 The Throne of David | OT8 Wisdom Lit./Post-Exile |

#### A Passage Through the New Testament

| NT01 Harmonized Gospels | NT07 Prison Epistles |
| NT02 Acts of the Apostles | NT08 Preacher Epistles |
| NT03 Early Epistles | NT09 Hebrew Epistle |
| NT04 Liberty Epistles | NT10 Minor Epistles |
| NT05 First Corinthians | NT11 Revelation to John |
| NT06 Second Corinthians |

#### Topical Studies

| Biblical Typology | Sermon on the Mount |
| Brotherly Love | Special Studies |
| Characters of The Acts | The 10 Commandments Today |
| Characters of The Old Testament | The Church of Christ |
| Great Themes of Isaiah | The Life and Times of Jesus |
| New Testament Churches | The Spirit World |
| Parables of Jesus | Works of Flesh-Fruits of Spirit |
| Proverbs: The Beginning of Knowledge | |

---

J.S. Smith  
Fort Worth, Texas  
Electronic Mail: jeffssmith@mac.com  
Internet: www.electronicgospel.org  
© 1999 All Rights Reserved

Reproductions may be freely made and used, provided proper credit is given to the author and no charge is ever made in association with this material without the express written consent of the author.